

October 19, 2022

System Development Charge (SDC) Compliance Audit – The Village of Clagett Farms, Part I Project No. DA3882A04, CIP Project Number W-84.01

Project # 11-SDC-05

A Report to:

Commissioners:

Chair, Fausto R. Bayonet Vice Chair, Regina Y. Speed-Bost Howard A. Denis T. Eloise Foster

General Manager/CEO: Carla A. Reid



Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission

Office of the Inspector General 14405 Laurel Place, Suite #300 Laurel, MD 20707 (301) 206-8300



Interoffice Memorandum

- TO: CHAIR BAYONET, VICE CHAIR SPEED-BOST COMMISSIONER DENIS, AND COMMISSIONER FOSTER GENERAL MANAGER/ CEO REID
- **THRU:** JON T. RYMER, INSPECTOR GENERAL OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL
- **THRU:** MAXENE M. BARDWELL, ASSISTANT INSPECTOR GENERAL FOR AUDIT OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

-DS

JW

- **FROM:** JAMES A. WALL, JR., SUPERVISORY AUDITOR OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL
- **DATE:** OCTOBER 18, 2022

SUBJECT: OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL COMPLIANCE AUDIT OF SDC CREDITS AND REIMBURSEMENTS-TOLL MD V LIMITED PARTNERSHIP RITCHIE MARLBORO ROAD THE VILLAGE OF CLAGETT FARMS, PART 1 PROJECT NO. DA3882A04, CIP PROJECT NUMBER W-84.01

In accordance with Maryland Annotated Code, Public Utilities Article, (2017 Repl. Vol., 2021 Cum. Supp.), § 25-405(d), and Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission's (WSSC) Standard Procedure ENG 04-01¹, the Office of the Inspector General (OIG) audited Toll MD V Limited Partnership's (Developer) request for reimbursement of System Development Charges ("SDC") for WSSC Project No. DA3882A04. Under this contract, the Developer designed and constructed the water extension located in Prince George's County, Maryland. This project was also approved as a part of WSSC's Capital Improvements Program (CIP). The auditors conducted the audit in accordance with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards, except for the peer review requirement.

On April 12, 2007, the parties executed the SDC Credit Agreement (Agreement). The SDC Credits under the Agreement were **\$1,548,012**. Subsequently, the Developer submitted a request for reimbursement in the amount of **\$1,340,535.36**. As a result of this audit, the submitted costs were adjusted to comply with ENG 04-01.

MB

¹ ENG 04-01 superseded by REG-IFSM-EC-2016-004, codified and superseded by Chapter 5.95 of the WSSC Code of Regulations (July 1, 2020).

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL COMPLIANCE AUDIT OF SDC CREDITS AND REIMBURSEMENTS-TOLL MD V LIMITED PARTNERSHIP RITCHIE MARLBORO ROAD THE VILLAGE OF CLAGETT FARMS, PART 1 PROJECT NO. DA3882A04, CIP PROJECT NUMBER W-84.01

PAGE 2

As reflected in the attached Summary of Eligible Qualified Project Costs, this audit determined the actual total eligible Qualified Project costs afforded to the Developer are **\$1,124,784.76.** Accordingly, the Developer is authorized to receive SDC credits and reimbursements up to this amount. Further, this Office recommends that WSSC identifies SDC receipts available for reimbursement on a quarterly basis, and processes payments to the Developer as permitted under ENG 04-01.

Attachment

cc: Corporate Secretary, (J. M. Montes De Oca) Deputy General Manager, (J. Price) Chief Strategy and Innovation Officer, (T. Allen) Development Services Division, (R. Chicca) Permit Services Section Manager, (L. Tapia) Development Project Manager, (B. Hall)

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL COMPLIANCE AUDIT OF SDC CREDITS AND REIMBURSEMENTS-TOLL MD V LIMITED PARTNERSHIP RITCHIE MARLBORO ROAD THE VILLAGE OF CLAGETT FARMS, PART 1 PROJECT NO. DA3882A04, CIP PROJECT NUMBER W-84.01

PAGE 3

Attachment

Summary of Eligible Qualified Project Costs	
Contract No. DA3882A04	

.....

1 5

			Office of the		Amount	
		Requested	Inspector		То	
Description	Amount		General		Developer	Notes
			Adjustment			
Design Costs	\$	146,881.41	(\$73,753.06)	\$	73,128.35	А
Geo-Tech Costs	\$	21,044.40	\$0.00	\$	21,044.40	В
Permit Costs	\$	0.00	\$0.00	\$	0.00	С
WSSC Costs	\$	112,160.48	\$0.00	\$	112,160.48	D
Construction Costs	\$	930,854.53	(\$23,185.00)	\$	907,669.53	E
Bond Costs	\$	10,782.00	\$0.00	\$	10,782.00	F
Overhead Costs	\$	61,086.14	(\$61,086.14)	\$	0.00	G
Interest Expense	\$	57,726.40	(\$57,726.40)	\$	0.00	Η
TOTAL	\$	1,340,535.36	(\$215,750.60)	\$ 1	1,124,784.76	

<u>NOTES</u>

- A. Design costs were allocated based on costs only related to this project.
- B. There was no adjustment warranted for Geo-Tech Costs.
- C. The Developer did not submit reimbursement for Permit Costs.
- D. There was no adjustment warranted for WSSC Costs.
- E. Construction costs were adjusted in accordance with ENG 04-01. Construction costs that were not supported by canceled checks were eliminated.
- F. There was no adjustment warranted for Bond Costs.
- G. We adjusted the requested overhead rate in accordance with ENG 04-01. The developer did not provide support for Overhead costs.
- H. Interest was calculated using WSSC's short-term interest borrowing rate, which was determined to be an annual average rate of 3.3% over the construction period. The interest amount was reduced for vouchers paid on permits submitted prior to this audit.